# **Rotational Spectrum, Structure, and Dipole Moment of Ethynylphosphine, H<sub>2</sub>PC=CH**

Edward A. Cohen,<sup>†</sup> Glenn A. McRae,<sup>†</sup> Harold Goldwhite,<sup>†</sup> Sal Di Stefano,§ and Robert A. Beaudet\*§

*Received*  May *21, 1987* 

The rotational spectra of ethynylphosphine,  $H_2PC=CH$  and  $D_2PC=CH$ , have been determined in selected regions between 8 and 120 GHz to obtain rotational and centrifugal distortion constants. The substitution coordinates of the P hydrogens have been determined. If a reasonable structure for the ethynyl group is assumed, a P—C=C angle of 173  $\pm$  2° is required to obtain a consistent fit to the moments of inertia. The best determination of the structural parameters is been determined. For H<sub>2</sub>PC=CH,  $\mu_a = 0.155$  (1) D,  $\mu_c = 0.555$  (1) D, and  $\mu_{tot} = 0.576$  (1) D, and for D<sub>2</sub>PC=CH,  $\mu_a = 0.137$ (2) D,  $\mu_c = 0.564$  (3) D, and  $\mu_{tot} = 0.580$  (3) D.

## **Introduction**

Simple bonding theory has been successfully used in many instances to explain the observed structural parameters of compounds containing an sp-hybridized carbon directly bonded to an atom with low-lying d orbitals. The microwave-determined structure of ethynylsilane<sup>1</sup> and ethynylgermane<sup>2</sup> are consistent with this simple theory. In analogous compounds containing phosphorus, for which a P-C bond colinear with the triple bond is predicted, structural evidence favors a slightly bent configuration. In particular, the crystal structure of phosphorus tricyanide<sup>3</sup> and the gas-phase structure of cyanodifluorophosphine<sup>4,5</sup> have a P-C-N angle of 172'. An electron diffraction study of the structure of this molecule could not determine the  $P-C-N$  angle.<sup>6</sup> Also, crystalline triethynyl phosphine<sup>7</sup> has a nonlinear  $P-C=CC$ structure.

The molecular structures of analogous compounds with a nitrogen atom instead of a phosphorus atom have been determined. The difluorinated amine, difluorocyanamide,<sup>8</sup> has a bent N- $C \equiv N$  angle of 174°. However, cyanamide has an additional complexity in its rotational spectrum originating from the inversion of the NH group, which obscures the effect of the small bend in the  $N$ — $C \equiv N$  bond. Early spectroscopic structural determinations of cyanamide *assumed* a linear bond<sup>9-14</sup> to reduce the number of unknown parameters in the fitting of the structure. In all these investigations, the studies focused primarily on obtaining the height and the shape of the potential barrier for the inversion of the  $NH<sub>2</sub>$ group about the plane. **A** more recent study indicates that a best fit to the same potential well by all isotopic species of cyanamide can only be obtained if the N- $\overline{C}$ =N angle is bent by about 5°.<sup>15</sup> In addition, some ab initio calculations have been carried out to explain the nonlinearity of the bond for these molecules. The first results obtained by using the **IBMOL-5** programI6 indicated that  $NF<sub>2</sub>CN$  and  $PF<sub>2</sub>CN$  were nonlinear, while  $NH<sub>2</sub>CN$  was linear. A second study using the STO-3G minimal basis set with the Gaussian 70 program<sup>17,18</sup> predicted that cyanamide, difluorocyanamide, and dimethylcyanamide all had bent  $N-C=N$ bonds.<sup>19</sup> The molecular structures of analogous molecules containing an acetylene rather than a cyano moiety have not been determined.

This present microwave study was undertaken to determine the configuration of the heavy-atom framework in  $H_2PC=CH$ . The rotational spectra of both  $H_2PC=CH$  and  $D_2PC=CH$  have been observed. Rotational constants, centrifugal distortion constants, and the dipole moment have been determined for both species. With assumed  $C=CC$  and  $C-H$  bond lengths, the remaining structural parameters have been derived by fitting them to the six rotational constants. The heavy-atom framework is shown to be nonlinear. Structural results are compared with those for other

phosphines and ab initio calculations.

#### **Experimental Section**

The compound  $H_2PC=CH$  was prepared by using a previously described silent electric discharge synthesis.<sup>20</sup>  $D_2$ PC=CH was synthesized by distilling a large excess of  $D_2O$  onto the normal species and allowing the mixture to exchange at room temperature for about 2 h. Spectra were observed on either a conventional 100-kHz Stark modulated spectrometer or a Hewlett-Packard Model 8420 spectrometer modified for high-frequency work. Measurements were made at  $-78$  °C or at room temperature and at pressures in the 10-50 mTorr range. The sample cell and vacuum line were exposed to  $D_2D$  prior to each run of  $D_2P$ C=CH and vacuum line were exposed to  $D_2O$  prior to each run of  $D_2PC=CH$  so that the deuteriated sample would not exchange with any protonated impurities adsorbed on the walls of the cell. The sample was stable indefinitely at  $-78$  °C and, on the basis of its microwave spectrum, showed little deterioration at room temperature over periods of many hours.

### **Rotational Spectra and Analysis**

The rotational spectra of both species are typical of those of near-prolate tops, and showed no evidence of inversion in the ground vibrational state. No excited-state spectra have been observed. The initial assignment of the  $H_2PC=CH$  was based on low *J* a-dipole transitions with subsequent assignment of the *c*-dipole,  $K_a = 1 - 0$ , Q-branch transitions at higher frequency. For  $D_2PC=CH$  only c-dipole transitions were measured as the much weaker a-type lines did not contain any information necessary for the desired analysis. For both species, a sufficient variety of transitions was measured to determine a very accurate set of

- 
- (I) Muenter, J. **S.;** Laurie, J. W. *J.* Chem. *Phys.* **1963,** 39, **1181.**  (2) Thomas, E. C.; Laurie, **V.** W. *J.* Chem. Phys. **1966,** *44,* 2602.
- (3) Emerson, K.; Britton, D. Acta Crystallogr. **1964, 17,** 1134.
- (4) Lee, P. L.; Cohn, **K.;** Schwendeman, R. H. Inorg. Chem. **1972,** *I!,* 1917.
- (5) Cox, A. W.; Zozulin, **A. J.,** Jr.; Odom, J. D.; Durig, J. R. Inorg. Chem. **1977,** 16, 2048.
- (6) Holywell, G. C.; Rankin, D. W. H. *J. Mol. Srrucr.* **1971, 9,** 11.
- 
- 
- (7) Kroon, J.; Hulscher, J. B.; Deroman, A. F. J. Mol. Struct. 1971, 7, 217.<br>
(8) Lee, P. L.; Cohn, K.; Schwendeman, R. H. Inorg. Chem. 1972, 11, 1920.<br>
(9) Tyler, J. K.; Thomas, L. F.; Sheridan, J. Proc. Chem. Soc. 1959,
- (11) Lide, D. R., Jr. *J. Mol.* Spectrosc. **1962,** 8, 142.
- 
- (12) Tyler, J. K.; Sheridan, J. *J. Mol.* Spectrosc. **1972,** 43, 248. (13) Attanasio, A.; Bauder, A.; Gunthard, H. H. *Mol.* Phys. **1972,** 24, 889.
- (14) Read, W. G.; Cohen, E. **A,;** Pickett, H. **M.** *J. Mol.* Spectrosc. **1986,** *115,*  316.
- (15) Brown, R. D.; Godfrey, P. D.; Kleibomer, B. *J. Mol.* Spectrosc. **1985,**  *114,* 257.
- (16) Clementi, E.; Mehl, J. W. IBM System/360 IBMOL-Version 5 Program, IBM Research Laboratory, San Jose, CA 95144.
- (17) Hehre, W. J.; Stewart, R. F.; Pople, J. **A.** *J.* Chem. *Phys.* **1969,** *51,*  2657.
- (18) Ditchfield, R.; Hehre, W. J.; Pople, J. A. *J.* Chem. Phys. **1971,** *54,* 724.
- (19) Howell. J. M.; Rossi, A. R.; Bissel, R. Chem. *Phys.* Lett. **1976,** 39, 312. (20) Albrand, J. P.; Anderson, **S.** P.; Goldwhite, H.; Huff, L. *Inorg. Chem.*
- **1975,** *14,* 570.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>†</sup> Jet Propulsion Laboratory, California Institute of Technology.<br><sup>‡</sup>California State University.

University of Southern California.

Table I. Observed Transitions of Ethynylphosphine, H<sub>2</sub>PC=CH

a-type	$\nu_{\text{obed}}^{\qquad a}$	Δ,	c-type	$\nu_{\text{obsd}}$ ,	$\Delta,^b$
transitions	MHz	kHz	transitions	MHz	kHz
$1_{0,1} - 0_{0,0}$	10204.090	$-26$	$1_{1,0} - 2_{0,2}$	104844.304	35
$2_{1,1} - 1_{1,0}$	20431.630	-46	$2_{1,1} - 3_{0,3}$	94 663.763	29
$2_{1,2} - 1_{1,1}$	20384.110	$-103$	$10_{1.9} - 11_{0.11}$	13 650.001	26
$2_{0,2} - 1_{0,1}$	20 408.190	-11	$14_{0.14} - 13_{1.12}$	16529.371	-34
$3_{2,1} - 2_{2,0}$	30 610.740	67	$10_{1,10}$ $\leftarrow$ $10_{0,10}$	124582.348	13
$3_{2,2} - 2_{2,1}$	30 610.740	67	$11_{1,11} - 11_{0,11}$	124451.019	37
$3_{1,2} - 2_{1,1}$	30647.410	$-20$	$12_{1,12} - 12_{0,12}$	124 307.850	37
$3_{1,3} - 2_{1,2}$	30 576.180	-57	$13_{1,13} - 13_{0,13}$	124 152.870	11
$9_{8.1} - 8_{8.0}$	91 7 58.400	16	$14_{1,14} - 14_{0,14}$	123986.142	-14
$9_{8,2} - 8_{8,1}$	91 758.400	16	$15_{1.15} + 15_{0.15}$	123807.664	$-77$
$9_{7,2} - 8_{7,1}$	91 775.975	58	$16_{1.16} - 16_{0.16}$	123 617.677	21
$9_{7,3} - 8_{7,2}$	91775.975	58	$17_{1,17} - 17_{0,17}$	123415.947	5
$9_{6,3} - 8_{6,2}$	91791.121	7	$18_{1.18} - 18_{0.18}$	123 202.663	15
$9_{6,4} - 8_{6,3}$	91 791.121	7	19 <sub>1.19</sub> — 19 <sub>0.19</sub>	122977.764	-56
$9_{5,4} - 8_{5,3}$	91803.938	-37	$20_{1,20} - 20_{0,20}$	122741.524	14
$9_{5,5} - 8_{5,4}$	91 803.938	$-37$	$21_{1,21} - 21_{0,21}$	122493.795	22
$9_{4,5} \leftarrow 8_{4,4}$	91 814.494	$-10$	$23_{1,23}$ $- 23_{0,23}$	121 964.197	-47
$9_{4,6} - 8_{4,5}$	91 814.494	$-10$	$35_{1,35}$ $- 35_{0,35}$	117862.124	-53
$9_{3.6} - 8_{3.5}$	91822.699	$-10$	$42_{1,42} - 42_{0,42}$	114 775.633	30
$9_{3,7} - 8_{3,6}$	91822.699	$-10$	$24_{2,22} - 25_{1,24}$	116763.758	33
$9_{2.7} - 8_{2.6}$	91828.831	-6	$24_{2,23} - 25_{1,25}$	124 420.845	-71
$9_{2,8} - 8_{2,7}$	91828.436	-4	$25_{2,24} - 26_{1,26}$	114526.850	2
$9_{1,8} - 8_{1,7}$	91 938.676	0	$26_{2,24} - 27_{1,26}$	95750.651	10
$9_{1,9} - 8_{1,8}$	91 725.125	-9	$27_{2,26}$ $\leftarrow$ $28_{1,28}$	94 775.524	17
$9_{0.9} - 8_{0.8}$	91 832.785	18	$34_{2,32}$ $\leftarrow$ $35_{1,34}$	11309.011	$-23$
$12_{4,8}$ + $11_{4,7}$	122415.275	$-8$	$35_{2,34} - 36_{1,36}$	16273.401	-55
$12_{4,9} \leftarrow 11_{4,8}$	122415.275	-8	$37_{1,36} + 36_{2,34}$	9892.776	63
$12_{3,9}$ $\leftarrow$ $11_{3,8}$	122426.229	$-17$	$38_{1,37} - 37_{2,35}$	20506.330	-70
$12_{3,10} - 11_{3,9}$	122 426.229	$-17$	$41_{1,41}$ $- 40_{2,39}$	32377.110	-40
$12_{2,10} - 11_{2,9}$	122434.695	-17	$49_{3,46} + 50_{2,48}$	115 122.075	32
$12_{2,11} - 11_{2,10}$	122433.762	-4	$49_{3,47} \leftarrow 50_{2,49}$	116 006.592	33
$12_{1,11} - 11_{1,10}$	122580.714	28	$51_{3.48}$ $ 52_{2.50}$	94 628.320	$-28$
$12_{1,12} - 11_{1,11}$	122 296.037	30	$51_{3.49} - 52_{2.51}$	95 660.324	-29
$12_{0,12} - 11_{0,11}$	122439.192	16	$57_{3.54} - 58_{2.56}$	33094.980	0

<sup>*a*</sup> Lines have been measured to  $\pm 0.05$  mHz. <sup>*b*</sup>  $\Delta$  is defined as  $v_{\text{calcd}} - v_{\text{obsd}}$ .

Table II. Observed Transitions of P.P-Dideuteriated Ethynylphosphine, PD<sub>2</sub>C=CH<sup>a</sup>

$c$ -type transitions	$\nu_{\text{obsd}}$ MHz	$\Delta,^b$ kHz	$c$ -type transitions	$\nu_{\text{obsd}}$ MHz	$\Delta,^b$ kHz
$2_{1,1} - 1_{0,1}$	82 538.788	-6	$15_{2,13}$ + $16_{1,15}$	29 91 1.650	$-21$
$3_{1,2} - 2_{0,2}$	92 337.678	24	$15_{2,14} - 16_{1,16}$	37 382.025	-8
$2_{1,1} - 3_{0,3}$	33959.574	$-21$	$17_{2.15}$ $\leftarrow$ $18_{1.17}$	9566.753	45
$4_{1,3} - 3_{0,3}c$	102 164.354	192	$18_{2,17} - 19_{1,19}$	9741.132	$-24$
$3_{1,2} - 4_{0,4}$	24 3 27 . 21 9	-9	$20_{1,19} - 19_{2,17}$	10863.460	-9
$9_{0.9} - 8_{1.7}$	23409.185	6	$21_{1,21} - 20_{2,19}$	8543.285	-39
$10_{0,10} - 9_{1,8}$	32869.795	14	$22_{1,21} - 21_{2,19}$	31 372.625	18
$1_{1,1} - 1_{0,1}$	62995.878	-196	$28_{3,25} - 29_{2,27}$	32 388.755	1
$2_{1,2} - 2_{0,2}$	62 940.279	$-7$	$28_{3.26} - 29_{2.28}$	33 522.503	5
$6_{1.6} - 6_{0.6}$	62439.908	38	$36_{2,35} \leftarrow 35_{3,33}$	34 096.440	17
$10_{1,10} - 10_{0,10}$	61 502.856	-29	$36_{2,34} - 35_{3,32}$	36724.839	-11
$12_{1,12} - 12_{0,12}$	60 875.168	6	$41_{4,37} \leftarrow 42_{3,39}$	32 306.588	-8
$13_{1,13}$ + $13_{0,13}$	60 522.522	$-25$	$41_{4,38} - 42_{3,40}$	32425.928	$\overline{3}$
$14_{1,14} \leftarrow 14_{0,14}$	60 144.570	-4	$49_{3,47} \leftarrow 48_{4,45}$	35 807.019	-27
$47_{1,47} \leftarrow 47_{0,47}$	37 366.297	7	$49_{3,46} - 48_{4,44}$	36 103.211	26
$54_{1.54} \leftarrow 54_{0.54}$	31 496.011	1	$54_{5,49} \leftarrow 55_{4,51}$	31 545.868	-14
$55_{1.55}$ $ 55_{0.55}$	30675.658	$-2$	$54_{5,50}$ $\leftarrow$ 55 <sub>4.52</sub>	31 556.642	15
$56_{1.56} - 56_{0.56}$	29 862.208	-3			

<sup>a</sup> Lines have been measured to  $\pm 0.05$  mHz. <sup>b</sup>  $\Delta$  is defined as  $v_{\text{calcd}}$  – <sup>c</sup>These lines were not used in the fitting.  $\nu_{\text{chad}}$ .

rotational constants and a complete set of quartic centrifugal distortion constants. These transitions are listed in Tables I and II, which also show the deviations of the spectrum from calculations based on the parameters in Table III. These parameters are defined in the Hamiltonian given by

$$
H = AP_a^2 + BP_b^2 + CP_c^2 - D_JP^4 - D_{JK}P^2P_a^2 - D_KP_a^4 +
$$
  

$$
d_1P^2(P_+^2 + P_-^2) + d_2(P_+^4 + P_-^4) + H_JP^6 + H_{JK}P^4P_a^2
$$
  
where

 $P_{\pm} = P_h \pm i P_c$ 

The sextic constants are not meaningful as they are highly correlated and, in addition, do not reflect the uncertainties of other

Table III. Rotational Constants and Moments of Inertia of the Isotropic Species of Ethynylphosphine

	$H_2PC = CH$	$D_2PC = CH$
A, MHz	130 345.248 (16)	67882.493 (18)
B, MHz	5113.92758 (76)	4885.7489 (15)
C, MHz	5090.19602 (72)	4830.1606 (15)
$D_{\kappa}$ , MHz	2.4525 (27)	0.5517(31)
$D_{JK}$ , MHz	0.064 943 (34)	0.05927(11)
$D_I$ , MHz	0.00135131(80)	0.0011717(28)
$d_1$ , kHz	$-0.007157(30)$	$-0.015350(94)$
$d_2$ , kHz	$-0.0013191(36)$	$-0.004282(19)$
$H_{IJK}$ , Hz	0.081(22)	0.219(41)
$H_I$ , Hz	$-0.00165(29)$	0.00019(94)
rms. MHz	0.036	0.020
$I_n$ amu $\AA$	3.877211	7.444865
$I_h$ , amu Å	98.823457	103.438799
$I_c$ , amu A	99.284 192	104.629233
$P_{aa}$ , amu Å	97.115219	100.311584
$P_{bb}$ , amu $\AA$	2.168973	4.317650
$P_{cc}$ , amu A	1.708 238	3.127215

Table IV. Atomic Coordinates in Ethynylphosphine, H<sub>2</sub>PC=CH



Figure 1. Structure, orientation of principal axes, and dipole moment components in  $H_2PC=CH$ .

sextic constants excluded from the fit. They are, however, necessary for the fit to accommodate some high-J transitions whose asymmetry splittings contain information on the quartic constants.  $H<sub>I</sub>$  for the deuteriated species is much smaller than its uncertainty but has been kept for consistency with the normal species. The data allow a sensibly small limit to be put on its magnitude.

The uncertainties of the reported parameters are 1  $\sigma$  uncertainties based on an estimated error of  $\pm 50$  kHz for each line included in the fit. One extra digit has been kept in both the data and the parameters so that the reader can reproduce the numerical results.

#### **Molecular Structure**

The most significant issue in the structure determination of this compound concerns the linearity of the heavy-atom framework. The carbon atoms and the ethynyl hydrogen are expected to lie very close to the molecular  $a$  axis as shown in Figure 1. As a result their c coordinates determined by the Kraitchman method are subject to large uncertainties due to zero-point vibrational effects.<sup>21</sup> The  $^{13}$ C species were not readily assigned in natural

<sup>(21)</sup> Kraitchman, J. Am. J. Phys. 1951, 21, 17.

**Table V.** Bond Distances and Angles in Ethynylphosphine,  $H_2$ PC $=$ CH

	exptl	ab initio calcn
$r(P-H)$ , Å	1.414(5)	1.420 (fixed)
$r(P-C)$ , $\AA$	1.774(5)	1.769
$r$ (C $=$ C), $\AA$	1.208 (assumed	1.192
$r(C-H)$ , $\AA$	$1.058$ (assumed)	1.060 (fixed)
$\angle$ (H-P-H), deg	93.9(5)	94.8
$\angle$ (H-P-C), deg	96.9(5)	97.6
$\angle$ (P-C=C), deg	173(2)	176.9
$\angle$ (C=C-H), deg	180.0 (assumed)	179.9

abundance. However, it was decided to forgo enrichment in those atoms and to isotopically substitute the easily exchanged phosphine hydrogens.

The substitution coordinates are given in Table IV along with the H--H and D-D bond distances determined from the molecular aplanarity. The hydrogen *c* coordinate is at least **0.02 A** shorter than is necessary to give the observed *A* rotational constant in a linear-framework molecule. For the deuterated species the difference is about **0.01 A.** This corresponds to a **0.01-A** shrinking on deuteriation of the P-H bond projection on an axis in the plane of symmetry perpendicular to the linear frame. In light of the much smaller isotope effects observed in  $PH_3$  and  $PD_3$ <sup>22</sup> this seems to be an unreasonably large difference. If additional off axis mass is attributed, at least in part, to a bent frame, this difference is reduced and becomes zero for the structure determined below.

The P $-C=$ C angle and the P $-C$  bond length are readily obtained in the following way. Having determined the H substitution coordinates, the contribution of the hydrogens to the moments can be subtracted from the moments of inertia, and a new axis system, new moments of inertia, and new planar moments (which we call the residual planar moments) are determined for the PC $=$ CH frame.<sup>23</sup> These are given in Table IV. Ideally, if the molecular frame (without the hydrogen atoms) were linear, these residual planar moments,  $P_{bb}$  and  $P_{cc}$ , would be identically zero. Normally, deviations from zero, often called inertial defects, occur due to vibrational zero-point effects. The magnitudes of *Pbb* and *Pcc,* should be the same. However, this is not the case. The residual planar moment in the direction perpendicular to the original plane of symmetry, *Pbb* is **0.0166** amu **A,** a reasonable value for an inertial defect. It can, of course, be reduced to zero by using the effective H coordinates determined from the nonplanarity instead of the values determined by the Kraitchman substitution method. The residual planar moment in the plane of symmetry is **0.0778** amu **A.** 

Assuming that the  $C=$ C $-H$  structure is identical with that in ethynylsilane,' all the remaining parameters can be determined by fitting the structure to the residual planar moments. The P-C bond length and  $P-C=CC$  angle are adjusted to reproduce the calculated planar moments of the PCCH frame. The calculated value for the  $P-C=CC$  angle is not sensitive to reasonable assumptions about the  $C = C - H$  structure. The hydrogens are then replaced at the appropriate positions to complete the structure. The resulting parameters are given in Table IV.

The substitution coordinates are consistent with a  $P-C=CC$ angle of 173.0° as shown in Figure 1, and the C=CH group must be bent *away* from the phosphine hydrogens to be consistent.

As previously mentioned, the rotational constants for each species can be reproduced with the assumption of a linear frame only if a large variation in the effective hydrogen coordinates is allowed on deuteriation. Since this variation is in the direction expected for a shortening of the effective P-H bond, the use of effective hydrogen coordinates may lead to the calculation of a larger P-C=C angle, i.e. less bending. However, lowering the bend by more than <sup>2°</sup> introduces the need for changes on deuteriation which are considerably larger than those observed in phosphine. For example, if one fixes the H-P-H and H-P-C

angles at the values in Table V and fits the normal species rotational constants by varying only the P-H and P-C bond lengths and the P-C-C angle, one obtains effective P-H and P-C distances of **1.4196** and **1.772 A** and a P-C-C angle of **174.57O.** This angle is about **1.5'** closer to linearity than that determined directly from the substitution coordinates. Although a number of assumptions have been made, the difference is indicative of expected vibrational contributions to the determination of the angle. At this angle the rotational constants for the deuteriated species require that the effective P-D length and D-P-D and D-P-C angles be **1.4161 A, 96-81',** and **93.96',** respectively. These are comparable to changes observed between PH, and PD,, but are already somewhat larger.

#### **Dipole Moment**

The *a* and *c* components of the dipole moment have been determined for both the  $H_2P$  and  $D_2P$  isotopic species. This was done in order to ascertain the direction of the small  $\mu$ <sub>a</sub> component relative to that of  $\mu_c$ . For the normal species, several M components of the  $10_{1,9}$   $\leftarrow$   $11_{0,11}$  transition were measured at fields up to 4400 V/cm to determine accurate values of the second-order Stark coefficients *A* and *B* in the relationship

$$
\Delta v = A + BM^2
$$

The *A* coefficient of this transition depends almost entirely on  $\mu_c$ , and it is very precisely determined. The value of  $\mu_c$  and the preliminary value of  $\mu_a$  determined from *A* and *B* were used to calculate the second-order contribution to the Stark shift of the  $M = 1$  component of the  $1_{1,0} \leftarrow 2_{0,2}$  transition. This shift depends primarily on the interaction of the  $1_{1,0}$  and  $1_{1,1}$  levels via the a dipole for which the two-level problem must be solved by direct diagonalization. After correction for second-order effects,  $\mu$ <sub>a</sub> is given by

$$
\mu_{\rm a} = [\Delta \nu (\Delta \nu + \nu)]^{1/2}/0.25172E
$$

where  $\Delta \nu$  is the absolute value of the corrected Stark shift in MHz, *y* is the frequency of the  $1_{1,0}$   $\leftarrow$   $1_{1,1}$  transition, and *E* is the field in V/cm. This provided a much more precise value for  $\mu_a$ . Table VI summarizes the Stark effect data.

summarizes the Stark effect data.<br>For the PD<sub>2</sub> species, the Stark effects of the  $2_{1,1} \leftarrow 3_{0,3}$ ,  $1_{1,1}$ For the PD<sub>2</sub> species, the Stark effects of the  $2_{1,1} \leftarrow 3_{0,3}$ ,  $1_{1,1} \leftarrow 1_{1,0}$ , and  $2_{1,2} \leftarrow 2_{0,2}$  transitions were observed. Only the *M*  $= 0$  component of the first transition was measured because it shows well-behaved quadratic behavior and is dependent almost entirely on  $\mu_c$ . The Stark effect of the  $1_{1,1} \leftarrow 1_{0,1}$  transition was fitted in the same manner as that of the normal species with  $\mu_c$ fixed. For the last transition, second-order Stark coefficients could be obtained by a least squares fit of  $\Delta \nu / E^2$  vs  $E^2$ . The results are consistent with those obtained from the first two transitions but are less precise because of a high degree of correlation between  $\mu$ <sub>a</sub> and  $\mu$ <sub>c</sub> and because an exact solution of the Stark effect problem is required. Only the results of the first two transitions are used in the final determination of the  $D_2$ PC $=$ CH dipole moment. The results are given in Table VII.

The measurements show that the dipole moments for the normal and deuteriated species are **0.575** and 0.580 D respectively in the direction shown in Figure 1. The difference may not be significant since transitions of quite different *J* are used in determining the larger  $\mu_c$  component. The angle of rotation of the axes on substitution **is 1.26'** from the structural determination whereas the apparent rotation angle determined from the dipole moment is **1.8'.** Since the assumption that the H-P-C angle is constant with isotopic substitution is not entirely valid and since dipole moments are known to change slightly on deuteriation, the source of this difference is ambiguous. The value of the dipole moment is remarkably close to that of phosphine  $(0.547 \text{ }\hat{D})^{24}$  and makes angles of 53.4 and 70.5° with the P-H and P-C bonds respectively. This shows that the projection of the dipole along the P-C direction has the same sign but somewhat smaller magnitude than that along the P-H direction.

**<sup>(24)</sup> Davies, P.** B.; Newman, R. M.; Wofsy, S. C.; **Klemperer,** W. *J. Chem. Phys.* **1971, 55, 3564.** 





 $\alpha$  Not used in the calculations.  $\beta$  This component was not well determined from this transition. <sup>c</sup>Held fixed during this calculation because this transtion was insensitive to this dipole moment component.  $d$  Not used in the final determination of the dipole moments.

**Table VII.** Final Values for the Dipole Moment of Ethynylphosphine,  $H_2$ PC $=$ CH

	exptl		$HF 3-21g*$
	$H_2PC=CH$	$D_2PC=CH$	$H, PC = CH$
$\mu_{\rm a}$ , D	0.155(1)	0.138(1)	0.473
$\mu_c$ , D	0.555(1)	0.564(3)	0.705
$\mu_{\text{total}}$ , D	0.576(1)	0.580(3)	0.849

#### **Discussion**

In Table VIII, we tabulate for comparison the nonlinear angle of some analogous compounds. It is apparent that the nonlinearity of the acetylene angle is not related to d orbitals because, then,

Table VIII. Comparison of the Molecular Structures of H<sub>2</sub>PC=CH and Similar Molecules  $(X = N, P; Y = C, N)$ 

	$\angle X - C = Y$ , deg	$r(X-C)$ ,	ref
$F2P$ - $C = N$	171.2	1.815	
$F_2N-C=N$	173.9	1.386	
$H_2N-C=N$	175	1.330	15
$H_2P$ - $C$ = $CH$	173.0	1.774	this work

cyanamide and difluorocyanamide would have linear N-C-N bonds. Also, the bent bond is not a peculiarity of only the CN group but depends **on** the interaction of the lone pair with the triple bond of the C-N or C-C moiety. The  $PH_2$  structure is similar to that of  $PH_3$ , and the H-P-C angle is also close to that in similar molecules. The P-C distance is extremely short, however.

Ab initio calculations were carried out by using Gaussian 82 in the HF3-21g\* mode including d orbitals **on** the phosphorus and optimization of the critical molecular structure parameters. All the bond lengths and angles except the P-H and C-H bond lengths were allowed to vary freely. The final optimized structure predicts a bent  $P-C \equiv X$  angle of 176.9°. The optimized theoretical structure is included in Table V for comparison. The  $\angle C = C - H$ angle is found to be 179.9°, indicating that it remains linear. We also carried out ab initio calculations with Gaussian 82 using a STO 3g minimal basis set to try to identify the interaction responsible for the bending of the P-C-C bond. Calculations with a linear structure and with a bent structure were carried out and compared. No unique, simple model is forthcoming. **MO's** involving the  $\pi$  orbitals on the acetylene group and the lone pair on the phosphorus are changed by the bending. However, the total effect is an accumulation of a number of small changes in the energy of these orbitals as well as smaller effects in other orbitals.

**Acknowledgment.** We gratefully acknowledge support of the Army Research Office under Grant DAAL03-86-K-0172. We wish to thank G. A. Segal for invaluable assistance with the use and interpretation of the Gaussian 82 program. This work was performed in part at the Jet Propulsion Laboratory, California Institute of Technology, under a contract with the National Aeronautics and Space Administration. We gratefully acknowledge the NSF for the instrumentation grant for the VAX 780 **on** which these calculations were performed and, also, the USC Loker Hydrocarbon Institute for partial funding of this project. We also thank David Harris for the long-term loan of a Hewlett-Packard microwave spectrometer upon which portions of this work were performed.

Registry No.  $H_2PC=CH$ , 34627-31-1;  $D_2PC=CH$ , 110745-14-7.